1	Michael P. Lehmann (77152) Christopher L. Lebsock (184546) Jon T. King (205073) HAUSFELD LLP 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400 San Francisco, CA, 94104				
2					
3					
4	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 633-1908 Facsimile: (415) 358-4980 mlehmann@hausfeldllp.com clebsock@hausfeldllp.com jking@hausfeldllp.com				
5					
6					
7	Michael D. Hausfeld				
8	HAUSFELD LLP 1700 K Street, NW, Suite 650 Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: (202) 540-7200				
9					
10	Facsimile: (202) 540-7201 mhausfeld@hausfeldllp.com				
11	Joseph W. Cotchett (36324)				
12	Steven N. Williams (175489) COTCHETT, PITRE & MCCARTHY, LLP				
13	San Francisco Airport Office Center 840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200 Burlingame, CA 94010 Telephone: (650) 697-6000				
14					
15	Facsimile: (650) 697-0577				
	jcotchett@cpmlegal.com swilliams@cpmlegal.com				
16 17	Interim Class Counsel For The Putative Cl	lass			
18	See signature page for complete list of coun	sel			
19	UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT			
20	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
21					
22	IN RE TRANSPACIFIC PASSENGER	CASE NO. 07-CV-5634-CRB (DMR)			
23	AIR TRANSPORTATION ANTITRUST LITIGATION	MDL No. 1913			
24	LITIONTION	CLASS ACTION			
25	This Document Relates to:				
26	ALL ACTIONS	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING THE PRODUCTION OF			
27		ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION			
28		•			
	CTIDIN ATION AND IDDODOCEDI ODDED DI	-1-			

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING THE PRODUCTION OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION; Case No. 07-cv-05637-CRB

I. PRODUCTION OF ELECTRONIC INFORMATION

A. Definitions

- a) "Document(s)" means electronically stored information (ESI) existing in any medium from which information can be obtained or translated into reasonably usable form, including SMSs and text messages and similar information which may be located on mobile phones or personal digital assistants.
- b) "Native File(s)" means ESI in the file type for (or of) the application in which such ESI is normally created, viewed and/or modified.
- is not ordinarily viewable or printable from the application that generated, edited, or modified such Native File; and (ii) information generated automatically by the operation of a computer or other information technology system when a Native File is created, modified, transmitted, deleted or otherwise manipulated by a user of such system.
- d) "Static Image(s)" means a representation of ESI produced by converting a Native File into a standard image format capable of being viewed and printed on standard computer systems. A Tagged Image File Format (TIFF) image is an example of a Static Image.
- e) "Load/Unitization file" means an electronic file containing information identifying a set of paper-scanned images or processed ESI and indicating where individual pages or files belong together -1-

as documents, including attachments, and where each document begins and ends. A Load/Unitization file will also contain data relevant to the individual Documents, including extracted and user created Metadata, coded data, as well as OCR or Extracted Text.

- f) "OCR" means the optical character recognition file which is created by software used in conjunction with a scanner that is capable of reading text-based documents and making such documents searchable using appropriate software.
- g) "Extracted Text" means the text extracted from a Native File and includes all header, footer and document body information.
- B. Form and Format for the Production of ESI and Paper Documents Converted to Electronic Form

1. Applicability

This stipulation does not constitute a waiver, by any party, of any objection that the production of particular ESI is unduly burdensome or that any ESI is not reasonably accessible. This stipulation does not apply to any other Order of Court or other agreement between the producing party and the receiving party to produce any documents previously produced in response to a subpoena or document request outside of this litigation. Any document produced in response to such an agreement or Order will be in the format and contain the metadata that the original production contained.

2. Electronic Production of Paper Documents

The parties will produce any paper Documents, including spreadsheets maintained only in paper form, that have been scanned or otherwise converted into electronic form as of the time the documents are first produced in this litigation. The form of production shall be:

-2-

- a) TIFF images, consistent with the specifications in Section I.B.3.b.;
- b) The appropriate Load/Unitization files in accordance with Exhibit "A" and consistent with the specifications in Section I.B.6.; and
- c) Searchable OCR text of scanned paper Documents created by the producing party, if any, consistent with the specifications in Section I.B.5.
- d) This Stipulation creates no obligation upon the producing party to convert paper documents into electronic form.
- e) If, however, the producing party has converted paper documents into electronic form as of the time the documents are first produced in this litigation, the producing party shall produce those documents in accordance with this Stipulation.
- 3. Native Files to be Produced as Static Images
 - a) Except as otherwise stated below, or by Order of the Court, Native Files will be produced to the requesting party as Static Images together with Load/Unitization files specified below.
 - b) All Static Images will be produced as single page Black & White, Group 4 TIFF (.TIF or .TIFF) files at 300 x 300 dpi resolution and 8.5 x 11 inch page size, except for documents that in the producing party's reasonable judgment require a different resolution or page size; provided, however, if a color image is produced in black and white, the receiving party may request the producing party to produce the image in color, if necessary to ascertain the content of the document.

c) All Static Image file names shall match the Bates number assigned to the image.

4. Production of Native Files

- a) The parties agree to produce Native Files of spreadsheet application files (e.g., MS Excel), presentation application files (e.g. MS PowerPoint), and multimedia audio/video files (e.g., .wav, .mpeg, .avi), subject to the right of the producing party to object to the native production of files where such production would result in the disclosure of information that is protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege or the work product doctrine and it is impossible to redact or otherwise produce the native production while maintaining such privilege or protection. The parties shall meet and confer with respect to the form of production for audio and video files in non-standard formats, or any files included in this sub-paragraph for which a claim of privilege exists.
- b) The parties agree to meet and confer informally regarding the production of database application files (e.g., MS Access, SQL, SAP) to determine the most reasonable form of production based on the specific circumstances at hand. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a party may elect to produce Native Files of portable database application files (e.g., MS-Access) without the need to meet and confer regarding the form of production. However, in the event a party makes this election responsive

data contained in such database application files should be produced in a report or export of such data to MS-Excel spreadsheets. Prior to generating such reports the producing party will explain the categories of data maintained in each database the categories that it intends and does not intend to produce, and the format in which the reports will be generated. The producing party will provide a sample page of each report to be produced in advance of its actual production. During the meet and confer process, the producing party shall provide sufficient information concerning the available database fields.

- c) A receiving party may request that the producing party produce the Native File corresponding to a produced Static Image. The producing party does not hereby waive any objections to producing a Native File in response to such a request. The request for production of any specific Native Files(s) shall include the Bates numbers of the TIFF documents to identify the corresponding Native File.
- d) Any produced Native File will include the Bates number of the first page of the Bates range that corresponds to the TIFF image, followed by a carat delimiter, which shall be appended as a prefix to the file name.
- e) Through the pendency of the action, the producing party should exercise reasonable, good faith efforts to maintain all preserved and collected Native Files in a manner that does not materially

alter or modify the file or the Metadata.

f) No party may attach to any pleading or any correspondence addressed to the Court, or any adverse or third party, or submit as an exhibit at a deposition or any other judicial proceeding, a copy of any native format document produced by any party without ensuring that the corresponding Bates number and confidentiality legend, as designated by the producing party, appears on the document.

5. Production of Searchable Text

- a) ESI shall be produced with multi-page searchable Extracted Text. For ESI from which text cannot be extracted, OCR will be produced instead, but only to the extent the producing party has created OCR as of the time the documents are first produced in this litigation, consistent with Section B (1) of this agreement.
- b) Any such Extracted Text or OCR will be produced on a document level as .TXT files, with the Text filename matching the Bates number applied to the first page of the corresponding image file followed by .TXT. Text files will be located in a directory named "TEXT" that is separate from the TIFF image. Text files should be searchable for all text, including text in all foreign languages present in the subject ESI. Foreign characters shall be accurately represented and included. Text files containing foreign, non-English, must be converted to 8-bit Unicode Transformation Format (UTF-8) format by the producing party prior to production.

 Documents which in their original form include multiple languages

-6-

1	
•	

course of business.

shall be produced in such form, as they are kept in the normal

6. Production of Load/Unitization Files

- a) There will be two Load/Unitization files accompanying all productions of ESI. One will be a Metadata import file, in .dat format, that contains the agreed- upon Metadata fields in UTF-8 encoding. The second data file will be a cross-reference file that contains the corresponding image information [IDX]. The acceptable formats for the cross-reference files are .log, [.dii], .opt, .lfp. Image load files should indicate page breaks. A path to the corresponding .TXT file shall be included as a field in the Metadata import file.
- b) The appropriate Metadata import file will contain the Metadata fields detailed and described in Exhibit A to this stipulation and incorporated herein by reference, associated with each electronic document (or their equivalents), including the body of the document, to the extent the fields exist as electronic Metadata associated with the original electronic documents or are created as part of the electronic data discovery process; provided, however, that any manually coded fields by the producing party will not be included as part of the Metadata import file. The parties also agree that any party-specific exceptions to the required fields in Exhibit A will be memorialized in separate side letter agreements. The attached list of fields does not create any obligation to create or manually code fields that are not automatically generated by the processing of the ESI, or that do not exist as part of the original Metadata of the document; provided however, the producing party

-7-

must populate the SOURCE and CUSTODIAN fields for all produced ESI, as well as paper Documents converted to electronic form as of the time the documents are first produced in this litigation, regardless of whether these fields would be generated during typical processing of such documents. A producing party shall have no obligation to provide FILEPATH information for documents that a receiving party specifically requested and the producing party collected by document type.

c) Any Native Files produced will be accompanied with a Metadata import file that shall contain (i) the full directory path and file names of the Native File(s) as contained in the produced media and (ii) a uniform hash calculation field.

7. Processing Specifications

- a) The preferred time zone of processing ESI is GMT. Care should be taken, however, that any alteration of time zone during processing does not interfere with or alter original Metadata of that ESI. To the extent that a party has already processed ESI using a different time zone, the producing party will note the time zone used in its processing. Parties shall consistently produce all ESI processed using the same time zone.
- b) When processing ESI for review and for production in TIFF format, the producing party will instruct its vendor to force off Auto Date and force on hidden columns or rows, hidden worksheets, speaker notes, track changes, and comments.

8. General

 a) The producing party shall use reasonable efforts to avoid producing system and application files.

-8-

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING THE PRODUCTION OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION; Case No. 07-cv-05634-CRB

- b) If the producing party redacts all or any portion of a Static Image, redactions not clearly indicated on the Static Image shall be noted in a user-generated field specified in Exhibit "A", which the producing party shall provide in the appropriate Load/Unitization file.
- c) The parties may de-duplicate identical ESI vertically, by custodian, or horizontally (i.e., globally). If a producing party elects to deduplicate horizontally, all custodians who were in possession of a de-duplicated Document must be identified in the CUSTODIAN_OTHER Metadata field specified in Exhibit "A", and all BCC recipients whose names would have been included in the BCC Metadata field but are excluded as the result of horizontal/global de-duplication, must be identified in the BCC_OTHER Metadata field specified in Exhibit "A". In the event of a rolling production of documents or other ESI by a party, the producing party may provide a load file with the required "CUSTODIAN OTHER" and "BCC_OTHER" fields, consistent with this provision, within a reasonable amount of time after all documents have been produced.
- d) Bates numbers and any confidentiality designation should be electronically branded on each produced TIFF image. Any accidental or inadvertent failure to brand an image or series of images shall not be deemed an automatic failure to designate, but

1 shall be interpreted consistent with the Protective Order entered in 2 this case. 3 4 II. TERM OF AGREEMENT 5 6 This Agreement shall continue in full force and effect until further order of the Court or 7 until this litigation is terminated by a final judgment. 8 9 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 10 Dated: February 1, 20122012 Respectfully submitted, 11 HAUSFELD, LLP 12 Michael D. Hausfeld Michael P. Lehmann 13 Christopher Lebsock 14 By: /s/ Michael P. Lehmann Michael P. Lehmann 15 16 Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs 17 COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP Joseph W. Cotchett 18 Steven N. Williams 19 By: /s/ Steven N. Williams 20 Steven N. Williams 21 Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs 22 LATHAM & WATKINS LLP William R. Sherman 23 Ashley Bauer 24 25 By: /s/ William R. Sherman William R. Sherman 26 Counsel for Defendant Singapore Airlines Ltd. 27 28 -10-STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING THE PRODUCTION OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION; Case No. 07-cv-05634-CRB

Case3:07-cv-05634-CRB Document628 Filed02/07/12 Page11 of 17

1	FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS DERINGER US LLP		
2	Paul L. Yde Rich Snyder		
3	Kich Shyder		
4	Ry: /s/ Paul I Vde		
5	By: /s/ Paul L. Yde Paul L. Yde Counsel for Defendant Continental Airlines, Inc.		
6			
7	CONDON & FORSYTH LLP Michael J. Holland		
8	Scott Cunningham Roderick Margo		
9			
10			
11	By: <u>/s/Michael J. Holland</u> Michael J. Holland		
12	Counsel for Defendant Air New Zealand		
13	LINKLATERS LLP James R. Warnot, Jr.		
14	Thomas A. McGrath		
15			
	By: <u>/s/ James R. Warnot, Jr.</u> James R. Warnot, Jr.		
16	Counsel for Defendant Société Air France		
17	JESSE W. MARKHAM, JR.		
18			
19	By: <u>/s/ Jesse W. Markham, Jr.</u> Jesse W. Markham, Jr.		
20	Counsel for Defendant All Nippon Airways		
21	CONSTANTINE CANNON LLP		
22	Douglas E. Rosenthal Ankur Kapoor		
23	Alysia Solow		
24			
25	By: /s/ Douglas E. Rosenthal Douglas E. Rosenthal		
26	Douglas E. Rosenthal Counsel for Defendant All Nippon Airways		
27			
28	11		
	-11- STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING THE PRODUCTION OF ELECTRONICALLY		

STORED INFORMATION; Case No. 07-cv-05634-CRB

Case3:07-cv-05634-CRB Document628 Filed02/07/12 Page13 of 17

1	DLA PIPER LLP David H. Bamberger	
2	David H. Bamberger Deana L. Cairo	
3		
4	By: <u>/s/ David H. Bamberger</u> David H. Bamberger	
5	Counsel for Defendant Cathay Pacific Airways	
6	SQUIRE SANDERS & DEMPSEY, LLP	
7	James V. Dick	
8	By: /s/ James V. Dick	
9	James V. Dick Counsel for Defendant China Airlines	
10	Counsel for Defendant China Airtines	
11	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP Christopher Casamassima	
12		
13	By: /s/ Christopher Casamassima	
14	Christopher Casamassima Counsel for Defendant EVA Airways	
15	SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP	
16	Gary A. MacDonald Anjali B. Patel	
17	Anjan D. I atei	
18	By: /s/ Gary MacDonald	
19	Gary MacDonald Counsel for Defendant KLM Royal Dutch Airlines	
20	MCBREEN & SENIOR	
21	David A. Senior Matthew L. Weston	
22	Watthew E. Weston	
23	By: /s/ David A. Senior	
24	David A. Senior Counsel for Defendant Malaysia Airlines	
25	J	
26		
27		
28	12	
	-12- STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING THE PRODUCTION OF ELECTRONICALLY	

-13-

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING THE PRODUCTION STORED INFORMATION; Case No. 07-cv-05634-CRB

GENERAL ORDER 45 ATTESTATION I, Steven N. Williams, hereby attest, pursuant to N.D. Cal. General Order No. 45, that concurrence to the filing of this document has been obtained from each signatory hereto. /s/ Steven N. Williams STEVEN N. WILLIAMS -14-STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING THE PRODUCTION OF ELECTRONICALLY

EXHIBIT A

LATIDIT A

Metadata Fields for Production

NOTE: Metadata Field names may vary depending on the application which generate them. For example, Microsoft Outlook creates different Metadata Field names than does Lotus Notes. Accordingly, the chart below describes the Metadata Fields in generic, commonly used terms which the Producing Party is to adapt to the specific types of ESI it is producing, to the extent such Metadata Fields exist associated with the original electronic documents or are automatically generated as part of the electronic data discovery process. Any ambiguity about a Metadata Field is to be discussed with the Receiving Party prior to processing the subject ESI for production.

12		Field	Definition	Doc Type
13	1	SOURCE	Name of party producing the document	All
14	2	CUSTODIAN	Name of person from whose files the document is produced	All
15	3	BEGBATES	Beginning Bates Number (production number)	All
16	4	CUSTODIAN_OTHER	Name of person(s), in addition to the	All
17			Custodian, from whose files the document would have been produced if it had not been	
18	5	ENDBATES	de-duplicated. End Bates Number (production number)	All
19	6	PGCOUNT	Number of pages in the document	All
1)	7	FILESIZE	File Size	All
20	8	FILEPATH	File source path for all electronically	All
21			collected documents, which includes location, folder name, file name, and file source	
22			extension	
	9	NATIVEFILELINK	For documents provided in native format only	All
23	10	TEXTPATH	File path for OCR or Extracted Text files per paragraph (b) above	All
24	11	REDACTED	User-generated field that will indicated	All
25			redactions made to Static Images, if such redactions are not clearly indicated on the	
26			Static Image	
	12	MSGID	Hash or SHA Value for Emails	Email
27	13	FROM	Sender	Email
28	14	ТО	Recipient	Email

-15-

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING THE PRODUCTION OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION; Case No. 07-cv-05634-CRB

Case3:07-cv-05634-CRB Document628 Filed02/07/12 Page17 of 17

1	15	CC	Additional Recipients	Email
	16	BCC	Blind Additional Recipients	Email
2	17	BCC OTHER	Blind Additional Recipients who would have	Email
2	1,	200_011121t	shown in the "BCC" field had the originally	Linuii
3			sent Native email not been de-duplicated.	
4	18	SUBJECT	Subject line of email	Email
7	19	PARENTBATES	BeginBates number for the parent email of a	Email
5		1111211122	family (will not be populated for documents	Linuii
			that are not part of a family)	
6	20	ATTACHBATES	Bates number from the first page of each	Email
7		111 11 1012211120	attachment	
7	21	BEGATTACH	First Bates number of family range (i.e. Bates	Email
8			number of the first page of the parent email)	
	22	ENDATTACH	Last Bates number of family range(i.e. Bates	Email
9			number of the last page of the last	
			attachment)	
10	23	ATTACHCOUNT	Number of attachments to an email	Email
11	24	ATTACHNAME	Name of each individual attachment	Email
11	25	DATESENT (mm/dd/yyyy)	Date Sent	Email
12	26	TIMESENT	Time Sent	Email
	27	DATERCVD	Date Received	Email
13	28	TIMERCVD	Time Received	Email
1.4	29	CAL_START	Calendar/ Appointment start date and time	Email,
14		_	11	Various
15	30	Attendees/	Calendar/Appointment	Email,
1,3		Participants	Attendees/Participants/Recipients	Various
16	31	HASHVALUE	MD5 Hash or SHA Value for Edocs	Edocs
17	32	FILETYPE	Descriptive field created by the vendor	All
10			processing software (e.g. email, edoc, image,	
18			attachment)	
19	33	TITLE	Title field value extracted from the metadata	Edocs
17			of the native file.	
20	34	AUTHOR	Creator of a document	Edocs
	35	DATECRTD (mm/dd/yyyy)	Creation Date	Edocs
21	36	TIMCRTD	Creation Time	Edocs
22	37	MODIFIED BY	Last Saved field contained in the metadata of	Edocs
22			the native file	
23	38	LASTMODD	Last Modified Date	Edocs
		(mm/dd/yyyy)		
24	39	LASTMODT	Last Modified Time	Edocs
25				
25				
26				
20				
27				
20				
28			16	
	l		-16-	

-16-

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING THE PRODUCTION OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION; Case No. 07-cv-05634-CRB